

# EXPERIENCE WITH COUNTINOUOS PROFESSIONAL TRAINING OF INTERNAL AUDITORS IN PUBLIC SECTOR

Mr. Peter HORVÁTH, CIA ECONOMIST, ACTUARY, TRAINER of PIFC MTC

PEM-PAL PLENARY MEETING, BUDAPEST 2012.



#### **HISTORY**

**2003:** Main purpose: improve 3E of PIFC Systems, including skills of public managers and internal auditors by launching TMC.

2005: Trainers' selection and "train the trainers" project

2006: Infrastructural background to ensure continuous traning in

the sector

**2009: Legal basis and background for trainings**Public Finance Act, Government Regulation

- Continouosly changing learning material
- Registration & authorisation & training obligations

2010-11: PIFC-I. training experience

2012: Revised curriculum for PIFC-I. & start of PIFC-II.



#### **AGENDA**

- Legal aspects of training work
- Tradition vs. Adopted good practice, to be taught /acquired
- Continuous change of the learning material
- Training management
- Feedback from trainees
- Challanges for trainers
- Results



#### LEGAL ASPECTS

Legislation initially fixed terms and definitions inaccurately Curriculum initially processed the legal provisions § to §

These two facts caused two consequences:

- 1. Continuous change of the legal backround by any reason
  - → Continuous obsolescence of referencies in the material
- 2. The recognized necessary improvements of the professional content could not be easily transcribed through the legislation
  - → Sustained differences between the material and the underlying model, the wiev of some chapters of previous versions considerably had differed from that of IIA and COSO

#### By 2011-2012

- Complement & clarification of definitions
- Highlighting key functional relationships
- More consistent and structured provisions



#### LEGAL ASPECTS

# Legal perspective vs. demand for proper legal basis for the professional practice

Experience shows that the appropriate relationship between curriculum and legislation seems to be:

**Content**: less description of legislation, rather professional theoretical and practical material;

**Structure**: it is desirable to edit in the logical context of learned models and concepts; instead of articles of legislation;

**Legislation**: evident and obligatory (background) knowledge; while a smaller but important part of the curriculum



## LEARNING MATERIAL

- Suiting of legal background have been gradual and progressive
- •Continuous development of the learning material was a major task for all trainers, even with the essential professional support of the CHU.



#### **CONTROL TRADITION**

#### Before world War II

- •engineering meticulous, detailed regulations by german precision After world War II
  - carrying out unquestionable instruction without doubt and thinking

These old, inherited features of public governance & management tradition does not support of public people in

- •definition and implementation of control systems >< executing orders
- •systems management skills development >< lack of autonom powers
- •process management attitude >< supervision of certain activities
- •clear segregation of control responsibilities ><single person leadership
- improving accountability >< lack of enforcement of legal compliance
- •granting wider mandate for auditors >< direct instructions

Good understanding of new concepts and models requires time



#### **CONTROL TRADITION**

# Considerable distance between Theory and Practice always genarates difficulties

- in implementation,
- in teaching and
- •in acquisition of new knowledge and skills.

#### Forward-looking difficulties genarates slow changes of practice

Trainers and trainees both must accept the realistic velocity of changes.



#### ADOPTING INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS

#### Cultural differences - international audit standards is rooted in Anglo-American philosophy of management and control

- •Different effective- concept of general public finances (planning, management, bookkeeping, reporting, etc.)
- More efficient "built in" controls of public administration systems
- Clearer segregation of operational vs. audit responsibilities
- •Managerial accountability typical, clearer and stronger

# IIA approach of auditors responsibility and function may be radically different from former local traditions, that also causes problems

- Understanding IIA IPPF
- Acquiring proficiency in new methodologies and in use of audit tools
- Interpretation of the most complex and sophisticated COSO model
- •Application of the standards ← practice advisories
- Different status and responsibilities of internal auditors



## TRADITIONAL vs. "NEW" EXPECTATIONS

Expectations raised against internal audit function was: compliance

- → Determines the characteristics of previous internal audit practice
  - •Focus was on the execution and regularity vs. systems based audits
  - •Purpose was to discover faults of people vs. develope procedures tand controls to prevent failure effectively
- → Expectations against internal auditors: task execution *vs. professional management consultant*
- → Status of internal auditors: subordinate vs. independent professional member of the staff with own standards and ultimate responsibility for a key organizational function



#### TRAINING MANAGEMENT

MTC works within the organisation of the National Tax and Customs Administration's Training, Health and Cultural Institute

Trainers and trainees both could experience NT&CA THC's

- precision,
- excellent educational services,
- trouble-free financial and information technology support,
- •fault-free access to the electronic learning material (curriculum) in ILIAS

That seems to be prooved a good decision



#### FEEDBACK FROM TRAINEES

- No significant need for e-learning tutorial assistance surprisingly
- End of course evaluations given by students after F2F trainings
  - too much theoretical knowledge; practice is far from theory yet
- There are also somewhat controversial expectations
  - excessising more and more test (driven by fear of examinations)
  - strong demand for practical examples >< huge theoretical learning material

#### To meet the requirements - I sougth the optimum:

- Increasing number of case Studies ,
- More examples from my practical experience,
- More fast "collective"tests between the slide series,
- and ... strive to be authentic and interesting ...☺



#### CHALLENGES FOR TRAINERS

- Presentation of hundreds and hundreds of slides during hours...
- •To make trainees understand their wider responsibilities
  - •Familiarize them new models & concepts & technical terms & PAs
- •To make trainees understand the "new" <u>organizational position of the IA</u>
  - •to help them to recognize and take advantage of this position
- •To make PBO's Financial managers and the Head of the PBO's accept utility training obligation (with no exam)
  - not easy to motivate them
- •To make Auditors understand that their own responsibility goes beyond "work in line with IA standards with individual proficiency"; that assumes
  - Assuring independency by proactively developing internal policies and regulations;
  - Making themselves and the IA function- recognised



## **RESULTS**

2010-11 were full training years in PIFC-I.

Υ	Internal auditors	Head of the PBO's and Financial managers of the PBO's	Sum
2010	1535	1009	2544
2011	458	3221	3679

- Successful end of course exams, with minor exceptions
- From 2012 Curriculum adapted to IIA and COSO model, supplemented with IIA PA's





## THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION

# Mr. Peter HORVÁTH, CIA ECONOMIST, ACTUARY, TRAINER of PIFC MTC uniotenderkft@gmail.com