

Reporting and rating of recommendations

PEMPAL IACOP plenary meeting – 5 October 2022

Internal Audit in Transition: The Public Sector Perspective

Unit 01 Quality Assurance, IAS

Overview

- Reporting process
 - Findings → report
 - Validation and meetings
 - Disagreement

- Rating of recommendations
 - Methodology
 - Other forms of reporting

- Action plan
 - Process
 - Assessment

Practice in **Commission** only (different for decentralised agencies and other autonomous bodies)

Only assurance process covered (not consultancy for example)



IIA Standards covered

- 2400 Internal auditors must communicate the results of engagements.
- 2410 Communications must include the engagement's objectives, scope, and results.
- 2410.A1 Final communication of engagement results must include applicable conclusions, as well as applicable recommendations and/or action plans.
 - Interpretation: Opinions at the engagement level may be ratings, conclusions, or other descriptions of the results.
- 2420 Communications must be accurate, objective, clear, concise, constructive, complete, and timely.
- 2440.A1 The chief audit executive is responsible for communicating the final results to parties who can ensure that the results are given due consideration.
- 2500.A1 The chief audit executive must establish a follow-up process to monitor and ensure that
 management actions have been effectively implemented or that senior management has accepted the
 risk of not taking action.



Reporting process: from finding to report



Findings

- Multiple findings
- Asses risks
- Identify possible recommendations
- Continuous communication with





Findings document

- Focusses on findings, risks and recommendations
- No rating of recommendations
- Issuance 10 days prior to formal validation meeting

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Draft report

- Scope and objective of the audit and executive summary
- Findings, risks, recommendations and rating
- Issuance 5 days after validation meeting
- Request for comments on draft report

Final report

- Same as draft
- Different addressees
- Includes auditees comments or disagreement
- Issuance 5 days after receipt of comments
- Includes comments or disagreement



Remote auditing

Reporting process: validation and meetings





Continuous validation

- Discuss findings
- No formal meeting
- Operational level

Findings validation meeting

- Discuss findings document
- 10 days after findings document
- Management level





- Discuss draft report
- Optional, at request of auditee
- 10 days after draft report
- Senior management level



No meeting

- No validation for final report
- Satisfaction survey



Reporting process: disagreement

- EXCEPTIONAL: in case of disagreement regarding content of recommendation or its rating:
 - Formal objection in final audit report
 - Performance indicator: acceptance rate of recommendations (%)
 - Reporting to Audit Progress Committee
 - Disagreement on substance for critical and very important recommendations
 - Not or partially accepted recommendations
 - Encoding of acceptance status in tracking system



Rating of recommendations: other forms of reporting

Issues for consideration, not subject to follow up

 Management letter: corporate issues or of cross-cutting nature, not subject to follow up



Action plan: process

- Finding
- Risk
- Recommendation
- Rating

Final report

Action plan

- Actions
- Implementation date
- 10 days after final report

- Un/satisfactory
- Comments
- 10 days after action plan

Reply



Action plan: assessment

- Assess content
 - All issues identified in the final report are addressed
 - Including root causes
 - Mitigate attendant risks
- Assess reasonable timeframe
- Conclusion is communicated in a formal reply:
 - Satisfactory in terms of adequacy and deadlines
 - Unsatisfactory: reasons and request for revised action plan



Action plan: process



